

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			· ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/756,978	01/09/2001	Eugene Roussel	210582.0001/1US	6809
	7590 11/27/2002		ya - 2	
AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE, SUITE 2200 2005 MARKET STREET		YU, MISOOK		
PHILADELPI	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002	\mathbb{Q}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/756,978	ROUSSEL, EUGENE			
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-66</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 12.					
10. Other: Interview Summary on 9-4-2002					
		Misook Yu, 11/20/2002			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: while applicant's argumets and the declaration have been fully considered, they are not persuasive. The claimed invention is drawn to a method of alleviating a tumor in a human patient. It is well known in the art that alleviating a tumor in a human patient is unpredictable, not a trivial matter.

Considering the state of art, including the discussion in Dr. Roussel's declaration, it is maintained that one skilled in the art would have reasons to question the efficacy of the claimed treatment method. In the absense of a working example or other evidence of the method's effectiveness, it is maintained that undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed.

IDS (Paper No. 12) was not considered because the IDS does not have 1.97(e) statement and applicant has not paid the 1.17(p) fee. See MPEP 609.

MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

1600